# FMCAD 2009 Panel

Alan Hunter ARM

ARM



### **Verification successes**

- Architectural model exploration
  - Abstract formal model of an internal coherent interconnect
    - Can prove absence of deadlock/livelock
    - Refined interconnect specification
    - Reusing architectural properties on implementation
- Customer support issues
  - Issues can be completely characterized
  - Robust fixes can be identified
  - "Can your IP generate this sequence?"
- Initial positive use of deep formal
  - Looked at data transport properties for 4 CPU Snoop Unit

- Used late in project
- Starting to ramp up on new complex processor design

#### **Verification failures**

- Low-level designer assertion proving seems to be of low value
  - Although recently has identified an issue (but only case so far)
    - So will still have to do it, but lower priority
  - Flow is automated and push button
    - But analyzing the results is not very difficult to get designers interested, since fails are almost always false

- We have still not seen a failure case that only formal has found
  - Probably due to where we are with "deep formal"
  - Expect to show real ROI on next high performance core



## How to get positive ROI from FV tools

- Focus on the complex problems that are hard to hit in any other verification environment
  - We are really only starting to do this now
- Have real resource working on the problem
- Get designer buy in
  - Kind of chicken and egg situation unfortunately
- Document every failure that formal found
  - It will be used against you later if you don't ③



#### **Optimum team sizes**

- We are very constrained (like everyone else) on resource to dedicate to formal
  - I think this is one reason why we have not been super successful to date
  - It meant we had to take the easiest route (push button proofs best effort), but these were probably the lowest value
    - Although it did have the side effect of generally better code
- We now have dedicated project resource for "deep formal"
  - But I am interested to hear from the panel on what sizes of teams they find effective

