

Eiffel – Design by Contract

Jakob Zwirchmayr, 26. June 2007

Presentation based on Eiffel Training Videos by Hal Weber and the book 'Eiffel the Language' by Bertrand Meyer

(Design by Contract – part 1 and 2, <http://www.eiffel.com/developers/presentations/>)

Eiffel

- Eiffel: Eiffel Development Framework (tm), focus: SW quality
- EDF consists of:
 - Eiffel development methodology
(OO, CQS, DBC (tm), etc.)
 - Eiffel programming language & compiler
(expression of analysis, design and implementation)
 - Eiffel development environments
(EiffelStudio (tm), EiffelNVision (tm))
- Eiffel is a reuse centric method (reliable components crucial)

SW specification & metrics

- Specification: English, formal system based on mathematics
- reliability relative to specification:
 - correctness: SW does what it's supposed to do (spec)
 - robustness: behaves in acceptable fashion outside spec
- Design by Contract:
 - compile spec to run against, catch 'bugs' earlier

Design by Contract (invented by Eiffel): "A method of SW construction that designs the components of a system so that they will cooperate on the basis of precisely defined contracts based on a model of software correctness."

An Eiffel Class

```
class ROOT_CLASS
    -- ROOT_CLASS ~ main
create
    make
        -- creation procedure

feature -- Initialization

    make is
        -- Hello World, every program needs a ROOT_CLASS
        do
            io.put_string("Hello World")
        end

end -- class ROOT_CLASS
```

Classes

- Class: consists of features, instances of class \sim object
- Feature:
 - attribute
 - routine
- Command-Query-Separation:
 - query: "answering question" about instances
(attributes and functions)
 - commands: computations that alter state of an instance
(procedures)
- Uniform Access: memory or computational?

Routines

- procedure that updates the hour attribute in class
TIME_OF_DAY (implementation: hour, min, sec:INTEGER)

```
set_hour (h: INTEGER) is
    -- Set the hour from 'h'
    require
        valid_h: 0 <= h and h <= 23    Precondition
    do
        hour := h
    ensure
        hour_set: hour = h              Postcondition
        minute_unchanged: minute = old minute
        second_unchanged: second = old second
    end
```

Pre- and Postconditions

- DbC correctness for routines:
 - preconditions: true \rightarrow routine can work correctly
 - postconditions: true after execution, if routine worked correctly
- a routine is correct if pre- and postconditions are met
- reason for CQS:
 - only procedures change state of an object
 - reason about correctness of instance state using queries

Routines – Contract View

- Contract View: unaffected by implementation
- Contract View for routine supplying service:

```
set_hour (h: INTEGER) is
    -- Set the hour from 'h'
    require
        valid_h: 0 <= h and h <= 23
    ensure
        hour_set: hour = h
        minute_unchanged: minute = old minute
        second_unchanged: second = old second
end
```


Design by Contract

“Design by Contract views the construction of a Software system as the fulfillment of many small and large contracts.”

Contract for a routine

set_hour	OBLIGATIONS	BENEFITS
CLIENT	sat pre make sure h not too large nor too small	from post hour updated
SUPPLIER	sat post must set 'hour' to value passed in 'h'	from prec may assume 'h' valid

- contracts violated:
 - by either party, not meeting obligations
- violated contract: SW is outside specification = DEFECT

Contract for a routine

- rules of execution: routine completes in (only) 1 of 2 ways:
 - 1) fulfills its contract
 - 2) routine fails to fulfill its contract
 - cause an exception
- routine suffering an exception reacts in (only) 1 of 2 ways:
 - 1) ensure object is in a valid, stable state (Retry)
 - 2) fail itself
 - exception passed on to caller

Contracts = "built-in reliability"

Assertions

- Assertions in Eiffel: elements of formal specification expressing correctness conditions
- use of assertions:
 - pre- and postconditions of a routine
 - invariant clause of a class
 - check instruction
 - invariant of a loop instruction (also variants of a loop)

value of an assertion: true if every clause has value true, false if a clause has value false

Assertions on routines

- *pre* and *post*: precondition and postcondition of routine `rou`
- `old expression`: postconditions of routines only
 - `old exp` has same type as `exp`
 - `old exp` value on `rou` exit = `exp` on `rou` entry
- `strip expression`: part of an object that will not change
- "do not change fields except":
 `equal (strip(a, b, ..), old strip(a, b, ..))`

Check instructions

- check whether a certain consistency condition is fulfilled.
- check instruction: a list of assertions packaged together
- check-correct:

“routine r is check-correct, if for every check instruction c in r , any execution of c (as part of an execution of r) satisfies all its assertions”

Class Invariants

- properties that must hold for any instance of a class
- valid at all critical times (= when observable by clients)
- observable: before and after each exported (= public) routine
- Class Invariant: assertion obtained by concatenating assertions
 - invariant of all parents
 - postconditions of any inherited function
 - assertion in classes' own invariant clause

Class Invariants

- Class invariant guarantees:
as soon as instance invalid, an exception occurs
- Class C consistent if it satisfies the following conditions:
 - 1) for every creation procedure p of C:
 $\{pre_p\} \text{ do_p } \{INV_C\}$
 - 2) for every routine r of C:
 $\{pre_r \text{ AND } INV_C\} \text{ do_r } \{post_r \text{ AND } INV_C\}$

P, Q = assertions,

A = instruction or compound instruction

$\{P\} A \{Q\}$ expresses the property that whenever A is executed in state in which P is true, the execution will terminate in a state where Q is true.

Loop Invariants

- invariant assertion:
 - initialization ensures truth of INV
 - execution of loop body, in a state not satisfying exit condition, preserves the truth of INV
 - => invariant and exit condition satisfied on loop exit
- loop variant (integer expression): guarantees termination
 - initialization: non-negative value

```
from .. invariant .. variant .. until .. loop .. end
```

Loop Correctness

routine is loop-correct if every loop it contains satisfies

- {true} INIT {INV}
- {true} INIT {VAR \geq 0}
- {INV and then not EXIT} BODY {INV}
- {INV and then not EXIT and then (VAR = v) }
BODY
{0 \leq VAR < v}

INV = loop's invariant, **VAR** = loop's variant, **INIT** = initialization,
EXIT = exit condition, **BODY** = loop body.

- a routine is exception-correct if it:
 - executed Retry and ensures precondition and the invariant
 - executed no Retry and ensures the invariant.

Correctness of a Class

- Correctness of a class C: combination of correctness properties
 - it is consistent ($\text{creation} \Rightarrow \text{INV}_c$, $\text{pre}_c + \text{INV} \Rightarrow \text{INV}$)
 - every routine of C is
 - check-correct
 - loop-correct
 - exception-correct
- Ideally: tools that prove or disprove correctness of a class
 - > currently beyond reach
- but: environment supports run-time monitoring of assertions.

Run-time monitoring of Assertions

- Eiffel: various evaluation levels for assertions of Class C
 - no: no assertion checking of any kind
 - require: evaluate preconditions whenever execution of a routine of C begins (default)
 - ensure: also evaluate postconditions on return of routines
 - invariant: also evaluate class invariant on entry to and return from qualified calls to routines of C
 - loop: also evaluate the Variant and Invariant of every loop in C; after every iteration check that the variant has decreased while remaining non-negative;
 - check or all: also evaluate every check instruction, whenever reached.

Conclusion

- What Systems is Eiffel used in:
 - financial security, embedded systems
 - market pricing systems, manufacturing systems
- Eiffel about itself: “no magic but solid well-thought out technology, based on a few powerful ideas from computer science and software engineering”
- Performance: using C compiler optimization speeds up performance
- I found my bug in no time!