Profiling

- profiling = quantitative debugging
 - measure performance, resource usage
 - CPU time
 - memory consumption
 - latency, througput
 - here focus on CPU time (on Linux x86)
 - first thing to try if your program is *slow*
 - search for *performance bugs*
- previously: qualitative debugging
 - search for failures, resp. incorrect behaviour

Profiling through Logging

- similar to *printf* style debugging
 - add logging code with time stamps
 - logging code removable at compile time
 - logging code enabled / disabled at run time
- Debug performance through logging:
 - wrap logging code around phases
 - run test cases with logging enabled
 - analyze time spent in phases
 - reiterate and refine phases as necessary

C code for Logging

```
#ifdef INCLUDE_LOGGING_CODE
```

```
static void timestamp (void) {
    getrusage (RUSAGE_SELF, &u);
    seconds = u.ru_utime.tv_sec + 1e-6 * u.ru_utime.tv_usec;
    seconds += u.ru_stime.tv_sec + 1e-6 * u.ru_stime.tv_usec;
    fprintf (log_file, "%.2f", seconds);
}
#define LOG(code) do { \
    if (!logging_enabled) break; \
    timestamp (); \
    fprintf (log_file, ":%s:%d: ", __FILE__, _LINE__); \
    code; \
    fputc (' ', log_file); fflush (log_file); \
    while (0)
```

#else

#define LOG(code) do { } while (0)

#endif

Statistics

- Add code to count important events
 - find event types on which you think the performance depends linearly:
 - number of requests, decisions, updates, etc.
 - avoid Heisenberg effect
 - counting should be cheap
 - if statistics are cheap keep them in release code
 - optionally include / disable statistics at compile / run time
- similar to *printf* style debugging!

Where is the Hot-Spot?

- Logging / Statistics are not sufficient
 - inaccurate, manual instrumentation / analysis
- Apply Low Hanging Fruit Principle
 - profile, and **only** optimize hot spot
 - do not forget:
 - 90% time spent in one part of the program, then improving this part (the hot spot) could speed up your program 10x
 - if it is only 50% then only at most 2x
 - if it is only 20% then only at most 1.25x

Gprof

- compiler (gcc) instruments program
 - counts number of executions of each function
 - counts number of times an edge is traversed in the callgraph of a program
 - samples time spent in each function
- running the program dumps this information to gmon.out
- gprof a.out reads program and dump

- produces *flat* and *call graph* profile

Sampling CPU Time

- need support by OS / processor
 - OS generates interrupt every 1/100 seconds
 - signal handler looks up frame pointer
 - return address in frame gives code offset
 - from code offset we get function f
 - increase execution count of f
- problem: timing not accurate
 - run test case multiple times
- Heisenberg issues ...

Performance Counters

- high resolution counters
 - more accurate time stamps
 - wall clock time stamps
 - system wide
 - also allow sampling / counting other events
 - data cache hits / misses
 - almost no overhead
 - system wide
- root access needed!

Oprofile

- system wide profiler for Linux
 - needs special kernel module
 - root access
 - only works on some platforms
 - comes for instance with 'ubuntu'
- comparison to gprof
 - more accurate, less overhead
 - no recompilation nor relinking

Google Perftools

- sampling based user space tool
 - no recompilation
 - relinking can be avoided with LD_PRELOAD
 - nice analysis tools, e.g. graphical
- compared to Oprofile
 - easier to use
 - clearly shows hotspots
 - less platforms

Using Coverage Tools

- originally for counting number of lines / branches executed
- main purpose is to detect untested code
- can be used to generate program slice for one test case
- very accurate, but also very slow > 10x
- example: *gcov* + *gcc*
- number of times a line is executed does not need to be linearly related to time spent in this function