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First Example: Dress Code

- **propositional logic:**
  - variables tie shirt
  - negation \( \neg \) (not)
  - disjunction \( \lor \) disjunction (or)
  - conjunction \( \land \) conjunction (and)

- **three conditions / clauses:**
  - clearly one should not wear a tie without a shirt \( \neg \text{tie} \lor \text{shirt} \)
  - not wearing a tie nor a shirt is impolite \( \text{tie} \lor \text{shirt} \)
  - wearing a tie and a shirt is overkill \( \neg (\text{tie} \land \text{shirt}) \equiv \neg \text{tie} \lor \neg \text{shirt} \)

- is the formula \( (\neg \text{tie} \lor \text{shirt}) \land (\text{tie} \lor \text{shirt}) \land (\neg \text{tie} \lor \neg \text{shirt}) \) satisfiable?
We want to plan a party.
Unfortunately, the selection of the guests is not straight forward.
We have to consider the following rules.

1. If two people are married, we have to invite them both or none of them.
   Alice is married to Bob and Cecile is married to David.

2. If we invite Alice then we also have to invite Cecile.
   Cecile does not care if we invite Alice but not her.

3. David and Eva can’t stand each other, so it is not possible to invite both.

4. We want to invite Bob and Fred.
encoding in propositional logic

- **propositional variables:**
  - inviteAlice, inviteBob, inviteCecile, inviteDavid, inviteEva, inviteFred

- **constraints:**
  1. invite married: inviteAlice ↔ inviteBob, inviteCecile ↔ inviteDavid
  2. if Alice then Cecile: inviteAlice → inviteCecile
  3. either David or Eva: ¬ (inviteEva ↔ inviteDavid)
  4. invite Bob and Fred: inviteBob ∧ inviteFred

- **encoding in propositional logic:**

  (inviteAlice ↔ inviteBob) ∧ (inviteCecile ↔ inviteDavid) ∧
  (inviteAlice → inviteCecile) ∧ ¬ (inviteEva ↔ inviteDavid) ∧
  inviteBob ∧ inviteFred
encoding in first-order logic

- **objects**: alice, bob, cecile, david, eva, fred
- **relations**: married/2, invited/1
- **background knowledge**: married(alice,bob), married(cecile,david)
- **constraints**:
  1. ∀X, Y (married(X,Y) → ( invited(X) ↔ invited(Y) )
  2. if Alice then Cecile: invited(alice) → invited(cecile)
  3. either David or Eva: ¬ (invited(eva) ↔ invited(david))
  4. invite Bob and Fred: invited(bob) ∧ invited(fred)
Definition (Model)

A *model* is a simplified reflection of a natural or artificial entity describing only those aspects of the “real” entity relevant for a specific purpose.

Examples for models:

- geography: map
- architecture: construction plan
- informatics: almost everything (e.g., a software system)

A model is an abstraction hiding irrelevant aspects of a system. This allows to focus on the important things.

*Example:* A map contains information about the streets and about spots of interest, but no details which people live there, which trees grow there, etc.
Modelling Languages (1/2)

- Purposes of models:
  - construction of new systems
  - analysis of complex systems

**Question:** What is a good language to describe a model?

- **Natural Language** is
  - universal
  - expressive
  - complex, ambiguous, fuzzy.

- **Modelling languages** have been introduced which are
  - artificially constructed
  - restricted in expressiveness
  - often specific to a domain
  - formally defined with concise semantics

**Example**
We saw the man with the telescope.
- Did the man have a telescope?
- Did we have a telescope?
Examples of modelling languages:
- programming languages
- finite automata, regular expression
- languages for software designs (e.g., UML)
- logic-based languages

Modelling languages are distinguishable with respect to their
- universality and expressiveness
- degree of formalization
- representation (graphical, textual)

**Definition (Formal Modelling)**
Translation of a (possibly ambiguous) specification to an unambiguous specification in a formal language
Examples of Models in Computer Science

**UML State Machines**

```
Client
  idle -> conPend
  uCon /sCon -> connected
  rcptInput /ok/recv -> fromPend
  rcptPend -> datInput
  uData /sData

Server
  uQuit /sDone
  uCon /sCon
  connected
  identified
  fromPend /ok/recv
  rcptPend
  dataInput
  dataPend
```

**CSP**

```
Road  =  car.up.ccross.down.Road
Rail  =  train.darkgreen.tcross.red.Rail
Signal =  darkgreen.red.Signal + up.down.Signal
Crossing  =  (Road || Rail || Signal)
```

**Petri Net**

```
produce -> receive -> deliver
```

**Circuit**

```
D Q
Q' ->
```

---
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Defining a Language

A language definition consists of rules defining the

- **syntax of the language**
  how do expressions look?
  - sequences of symbols forming words
  - rules for composing sentences (grammar); checked by parser
  - sometimes multiple (equivalent) representations with different goals (user-friendliness, processability)

- **semantics of the language**
  what do expressions mean?
  - meaning of the words
  - meaning of combinations of words

**Example**

**Definition of natural numbers:**
- 0 is a natural number.
- For every natural number \( n \), there is a natural number \( s(n) \).

**Some words:** 0, \( s(0) \), \( s(s(0)) \), \ldots

**Example**

The word \( s(0) \) has the meaning 1, the word \( s(s(s(0))) \) has the meaning 3.
A logic consists of
- a set of symbols (like $\lor, \land, \neg, \top, \bot, \forall, \exists$ . . .)
- a set of variables (like $x, y, z, \ldots$)
- concise syntax: well-formedness of expressions
- concise semantics: meaning of expressions

Logics support reasoning for
- derivation of “new” knowledge
- proving the truth/falsity of a statement (satisfiability checking)

Different logics differ in their
- truth values: binary (true, false), multi-valued (true, false, unknown), fuzzy
  (between 0 and 1, e.g., $[0, 1]$ as subset of the real numbers)
- expressiveness (what can be formulated in the logic?)
- complexity (how expensive is reasoning?)
Automated Reasoning and Inferences

- For reasoning, a logic provides various sets of *rules* (calculi).
- Reasoning is often based on certain syntactical patterns.

**General pattern:**
(modus ponens)

- x holds.
- If x holds, then also y holds.
- y holds.

- x and y are arbitrary propositions.
- From true premises, we can derive true conclusions.
- From false premises, we can derive everything.

**Example**

A comes to the party.
If A comes to the party, then also B comes.
B comes to the party.

Premises

Conclusion
Some Remarks on Inferences

Example

Assume we have modelled the following system:

- A comes to the party.
- B comes to the party.
- If A comes to the party, then B does not come to the party.

With the *modus ponens*, we can infer that B does not come to the party.

So, we have some inconsistency in our party model.

A system is inconsistent, if we can infer that a statement holds and that a statement does not hold at the same time.

Sometimes we cannot infer anything.

Example

Assume we have modelled the following system:

- If A comes to the party, then B comes to the party.
- C comes to the party.

Then we cannot infer anything.
Logic in Practice

- **hardware and software industry:**
  - computer-aided verification
  - formal specification

- **programming:** basis for declarative programming language like Prolog

- **artificial intelligence:** automated reasoning (e.g., planning, scheduling)

- **mathematics:** reasoning about systems, mechanical proofs
In this lecture, we consider different logic-based languages:

- **propositional logic (SAT)**
  - simple language: only atomic propositions, logic connectives
  - low expressiveness
  - low complexity (satisfiability checking is exponential in the worst case)
  - very successful in industry (e.g., verification)

- **first-order logic (predicate logic)**
  - rich language: predicates, functions, terms, quantifiers, logical connectives
  - great power of expressiveness
  - high complexity (satisfiability checking is undecidable in general)
  - many applications in mathematics and system specifications

- **satisfiability modulo theories (SMT)**
  - customizable language: user decides on the included language concepts
  - as much expressiveness as required
  - as much complexity as necessary
  - very popular and successful in industry