Boolector at the SMT Competition 2014

Aina Niemetz, Mathias Preiner, and Armin Biere

Institute for Formal Models and Verification
Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

Abstract—This paper serves as solver description for our SMT solver Boolector entering the SMT Competition 2014 in three different configurations. We only list important differences to the earlier version of Boolector that participated in the SMT Competition 2012 [2]. For further information we refer to [2] or source code.

OVERVIEW

This year’s version of Boolector considerably differs from the version submitted to the SMT competition in 2012. First and foremost, we introduced a new lemmas on demand decision procedure $\text{DP}_\lambda$ for lambdas as described in [6], which replaces the decision procedure for arrays introduced in [5]. Internally, we now represent all array operations and arrays as lambda terms and uninterpreted functions. Further, we introduced several improvements to a number of internal components of Boolector. Finally, we use an internal version of our SAT solver Lingeling, which is close to the version submitted to the SAT competition 2014, as back-end solver.

Note that $\text{DP}_\lambda$ does not yet support extensionality on arrays. Thus, for benchmarks in the QF_BV track, we use an older internal version of Boolector to handle extensionality on arrays in case that the input file is still extensional after rewriting.

In the following, we discuss the most notable improvements compared to the competition version of 2012.

IMPROVEMENTS

We made several improvements to the SMT2 parser and added support for SMT2 macros ($\text{define-fun}$ commands).

Boolector now implements a new model generation algorithm to fix the performance drop of older versions in case model generation is enabled. The overhead of the new model generation algorithm is now negligible.

Similar to cloning in Lingeling [3][2], Boolector now also supports cloning and provides a clone function to generate an exactly identical copy of a Boolector instance.

We made a lot of improvements in terms of testing and debugging Boolector. Among others, we introduced API call tracing (recording/replaying API call sequences that trigger erroneous behaviour), model-based testing (c.f. [1]), and an internal validation of models for satisfiable instances after each SAT call. Due to these new testing and debugging techniques, we identified and fixed several bugs in Boolector since the previous competition in 2012, including several fixes in the rewriting engine and incremental API.

We further fixed the previously disabled unconstrained optimization, which is enabled by default in the current competition configurations.

Finally, we implemented two new optimization techniques based on don’t care reasoning to speed-up our lemmas on demand procedure.

CONFIGURATIONS

This year, one configuration of Boolector (“Boolector”) competes in the QF_BV track, whereas two different configurations “Boolector (dual propagation)” and “Boolector (justification)”, which enable don’t care reasoning to optimize the lemmas on demand procedure, compete in the QF_ABV track.

$\text{QF}_\text{BV}$ configuration: Boolector

Since the current version of Boolector handles define-fun commands lazily (as described in [6]), we enabled full beta reduction to eagerly eliminate macros. Further, we disabled the simpdelay feature of Lingeling (which was previously enabled in version 1.5.118 of Boolector).

$\text{QF}_\text{ABV}$ configuration 1: Boolector (dual propagation)

For this configuration we enabled our first optimization technique, which employs don’t care reasoning and is based on dual propagation. We expect this configuration to be a bit slower than the Boolector (justification) configuration due to the overhead produced by the dual propagation solver.

$\text{QF}_\text{ABV}$ configuration 2: Boolector (justification)

For this configuration we enabled the second don’t care reasoning optimization technique, which is based on justification.

COPYRIGHT

Boolector has been originally developed by Robert Brummayer and Armin Biere at the FMV institute. Since 2009 it was maintained and extended by Armin Biere. Since 2012 it is maintained and extended by Armin Biere, Aina Niemetz, and Mathias Preiner.

LICENSE

For the competition version of Boolector we use the same license scheme as introduced last year for our SAT solver Lingeling [4]. It allows the use of the software for academic, research and evaluation purposes. It further prohibits the use of the software in other competitions or similar events without explicit written permission. Please refer to the actual license, which comes with the source code, for more details.
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