Scalable Certificate Extraction for QBF

Aina Niemetz, <u>Mathias Preiner</u>, Florian Lonsing, Martina Seidl, and Armin Biere

Institute for Formal Models and Verification (FMV) Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria http://fmv.jku.at/

Alpine Verification Meeting (AVM), May 21 - 22, 2012, Passau, Germany

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Introduction Motivation

Quantified Boolean Formulas (QBF)

- ... extension of propositional logic (SAT) with quantifiers (\forall , \exists)
 - satisfiability problem for QBF (QSAT) is PSPACE-complete
 - + compact encodings for many real world problems e.g., Formal Verification, Artificial Intelligence

QBF Certificates

- · provide means to verify the correctness of a solver's result
- provide concrete solution as a base for e.g., counter-examples, error traces, strategies

Skolem/Herbrand Function-based QBF Certificates

- · represent truth values of existential/universal variables
- provide strategies, counter-examples, error traces
- until recently: only Skolem functions derivable from Skolemization-based QBF solvers (e.g., sKizzo, Squolem)
 - \longrightarrow not as successful as search-based QBF solvers
 - \longrightarrow not maintained anymore
- novel approach presented at CAV'11 by Balabanov and Jiang [BJ11]
 - \longrightarrow extraction of Skolem/Herbrand functions from Q-resolution proofs

Our Goal

- verify correctness of a QBF solver's result
- extract concrete solutions instead of mere *sat/unsat* answers

 → Skolem/Herbrand function-based certificates
- solver-independent framework for QBF certificate extraction

Preliminaries Quantified Boolean Formulas (QBF)

Prenex Conjunctive Normal Form (PCNF)

- $Q_1X_1 \dots Q_nX_n \phi$, where $\phi := \bigwedge C_i$ with clauses C_i and $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$
- PCNF: Quantifier-free CNF ϕ over quantified Boolean variables
- X_i ... set of quantified variables, linearly ordered: Q_iX_i ≤ Q_{i+1}X_{i+1} → variables in X_i precede variables in X_{i+1}

Prenex Disjunctive Normal Form (PDNF)

... quantifier-free DNF over quantified Boolean variables (dual to PCNF)

Semantics

- $\forall x.\phi$ is satisfiable iff both $\phi[x/0]$ and $\phi[x/1]$ are satisfiable
- $\exists y.\phi$ is satisfiable iff either $\phi[y/0]$ or $\phi[y/1]$ is satisfiable

Theorem ([BKF95, GNT06])

A QBF in PCNF (PDNF) is unsatisfiable (satisfiable) iff there exists a clause (cube) resolution sequence leading to the empty clause (cube).

 \longrightarrow We refer to this sequence as Q-resolution proof.

Definition (Universal Reduction)

Given a clause C, $UR(C) := C \setminus \{l_u \in L_{\forall}(C) \mid \not \exists l_e \in L_{\exists}(C), l_u < l_e\}$, i.e., removing all universal literals that do not precede any existential literal in C.

Example (UR)

Given PCNF $\exists x \forall y \exists z. (x \lor y \lor z) \land (\neg x \lor \neg y)$. Then, $UR((\neg x \lor \neg y)) = (\neg x)$.

Definition (Q-Resolution)

Let C_1 , C_2 be clauses with $v \in C_1$, $\neg v \in C_2$ and $q(v) = \exists [\mathsf{BKF95}]$.

$$C := (UR(C_1) \cup UR(C_2)) \setminus \{v, \neg v\}.$$

- **2** If $\{x, \neg x\} \subseteq C$ (tautology), then no Q-resolvent exists.
- **3** Otherwise, Q-resolvent C' := UR(C).

Example (Q-Resolution)

Given PCNF $\exists x \forall y \exists z. (x \lor y \lor z) \land (\neg x \lor \neg y)$. Then, resolving $(x \lor y \lor z)$ and $(\neg x \lor \neg y)$ yields $(y \lor z)$.

Preliminaries

Skolemization/Skolem Functions (PDNF)

- technique for eliminating existential quantifiers
- \exists -variables are substituted by so-called *Skolem functions*
 - \longrightarrow truth value of $\exists\text{-variable}$ is defined over all preceding $\forall\text{-variables}$
- resulting formula ...
 - contains ∀-variables only
 - o is satisfiable iff original formula is satisfiable

Herbrandization/Herbrand Functions (PCNF)

• technique for eliminating universal quantifiers (dual to Skolemization)

And-Inverter Graphs (AIG)

- directed acyclic graph (DAG)
- representation of circuits/Boolean formulas
- logical connectives: and (\land), negation (\neg)
- allow sharing of isomorphic subgraphs



Certification Workflow Overview

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



Certification Workflow DepQBF: Tracing

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

DepQBF [LB10]

- search-based state-of-the-art QBF solver
- for QBF in PCNF
- implements DLL algorithm for QBF (QDLL) [CGS98]
- placed 1st in main track of QBFEVAL'10

Tracing in DepQBF

- on top of QDLL with Learning
- records
 - input formula
 - o each learnt constraint (clauses resp. cubes) and its antecedent(s)
 - o derivation of the empty constraint
 - result (sat, unsat)
- in QRP format

Certification Workflow

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

QRPcheck: Q-Resolution Proof Extraction and Checking

QRPcheck

... tool for extracting and checking proofs in QRP format

- extract proof from trace on-the-fly, starting with the empty constraint
- check each proof step incrementally
- set of input constraints for deriving the empty constraint
 - o unsatisfiable: subset of the input formula
 - \longrightarrow considered as given
 - o satisfiable: set of learnt cubes generated by the solver
 - $\longrightarrow \mathsf{checked} \text{ individually}$
- provides possibility to extract QRP representation of proof

Certification Workflow QRPcert: QBF Certificate Extraction

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

QRPcert

- $\label{eq:constraint} \dots tool \mbox{ for extracting Skolem/Herbrand function-based QBF certificates from $$Q$-resolution proofs and traces in $$Q$P format $$$
 - Skolem/Herbrand function extraction based on algorithm presented by Balabanov and Jiang [BJ11]
 - Skolem/Herbrand functions are represented as AIGs
 - employs structural sharing on AIGs
 - set of extracted Skolem/Herbrand functions represents QBF certificate
 - QBF satisfiable: Skolem function-based QBF certificate
 - QBF unsatisfiable: Herbrand function-based QBF certificate

Certification Workflow

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CertCheck: Generate Prop. Formula for Validation

CertCheck

 \ldots tool for merging the input formula with the corresponding certificate AIG

- 1 translate input formula into an AIG
- e) substitute ∃/∀-variables with corresponding Skolem/Herbrand functions
 → merge input formula AIG with certificate AIG
- ${f 3}$ translate resulting (merged) AIG into prop. formula ϕ in CNF

Certificate Validation

- ... check prop. formula ϕ with a SAT solver
 - QBF satisfiable: merged AIG contains \forall -variables only \longrightarrow check if ϕ is tautological
 - QBF unsatisfiable: merged AIG contains \exists -variables only \longrightarrow check if ϕ is unsatisfiable

Certificate Extraction Example Q-Resolution Proof DAG

Input formula:

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall x_1x_2 \exists y_1 \forall x_3 \exists y_2 y_3 \forall x_4 \exists y_4 y_5.(x_1 \lor \neg y_1 \lor \neg y_5) \land (y_4 \lor y_5) \land (x_3 \lor y_2 \lor \neg x_4 \lor \neg y_4) \land (y_3 \lor \neg x_4 \lor \neg y_4) \land (\neg y_2 \lor \neg y_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_2 \lor y_1 \lor y_4) \end{array}$

Q-Resolution Proof DAG:



Extracted Herbrand Functions:

$$\begin{split} f_{x_4} &= \{UR(3), \neg UR(11), \neg UR(10)\} = UR(3) \land (\neg UR(11) \lor \neg UR(10)) = (\neg y_2 \lor \neg y_3) \land ((\neg x_1 \land y_1) \lor (x_2 \land \neg y_1)) \\ f_{x_3} &= \{UR(11), UR(10)\} = UR(11) \land UR(10) = (x_1 \lor \neg y_1) \land (\neg x_2 \lor y_1) \\ f_{x_2} &= \{\neg UR(12)\} = \neg \emptyset = \top \\ f_{x_1} &= \{UR(12)\} = \emptyset = \bot \end{split}$$

Certificate Extraction Example QBF Certificate Representation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



$$\begin{array}{l} f_{x_1} = \bot \\ f_{x_2} = \top \\ f_{x_3} = \neg y_1 \land y_1 \\ f_{x_4} = (\neg y_2 \lor \neg y_3) \land (y_1 \lor \neg y_1) \end{array}$$

Certificate Extraction Example

Merging Input Formula and Certificate AIG



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

Experimental Results

QBFEVAL'10 set (568 formulas), limits: 7 GB memory, 1800 seconds time

Proof Extraction and Checking

- 362 instances solved by DepQBF, 348 checked by QRPcheck
- difference: 14 instances due to memory out
- required 35% of solving time

Certificate Extraction

- out of 348 proofs, 337 certificates extracted
- difference: 11 certificates due to memory out
- avg. number of AND-gates: 20M (sat.), 170k (unsat.)
- avg. % of AIG compression: 65% (sat.), 23% (unsat.)
- required 41% of solving time

Skolemization/Herbrandization

- avg. number of clauses: 59M (sat.), 409k (unsat.)
- required 32% of solving time

Certificate Validation

- out of 337 prop. formulas, 275 were checked successfully
- difference: 45 (17) certificates not validated due to memory (time) out
- required 88% of solving time

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Summary

- framework for complete certification of QBF
- solver-independent tools for ...
 - extracting/checking Q-resolution proofs
 - extracting/validating QBF Skolem/Herbrand function-based certificates
- Skolem/Herbrand function-based QBF certificates as a base for, e.g., counter-examples in model checking, strategies in AI
- certificates for over 93% of solved instances extracted \rightarrow 100% when lifting memory limit

Open Problems/Challenges

- trace file size (several GB on avg.)
- · certificate validation bottleneck in certification workflow
 - \longrightarrow employ incremental SAT checking
 - \longrightarrow improve AIG-to-CNF translation
- support more AIG simplification techniques
- support for advanced dependency schemes as employed in DepQBF

References

Valeriy Balabanov and Jie-Hong R. Jiang.

Resolution Proofs and Skolem Functions in QBF Evaluation and Applications.

In Proc. of the 23rd International Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV 2011), volume 6806 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 149–164. Springer, 2011.

Hans Kleine Büning, Marek Karpinski, and Andreas Flögel. Resolution for Quantified Boolean Formulas. Information and Computation, 117(1):12–18, 1995.

M. Cadoli, A. Giovanardi, and M. Schaerf. An Algorithm to Evaluate Quantified Boolean Formulae. In *AAAI/IAAI*, pages 262–267, 1998.

Enrico Giunchiglia, Massimo Narizzano, and Armando Tacchella. Clause/Term Resolution and Learning in the Evaluation of Quantified Boolean Formulas.

Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research (JAIR), 26:371–416, 2006.

F. Lonsing and A. Biere. DepQBF: A Dependency-Aware QBF Solver. *JSAT*, 7(2-3):71–76, 2010.