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## Semantics of QBF

- QBF $\forall x \mathcal{Q} . \varphi$ is satisfiable iff $\mathcal{Q} . \varphi[x]$ and $\mathcal{Q} . \varphi[\neg x]$ are satisfiable

■ QBF $\exists x \mathcal{Q} . \varphi$ is satisfiable iff $\mathcal{Q} . \varphi[x]$ or $\mathcal{Q} . \varphi[\neg x]$ is satisfiable
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\forall x \exists y \cdot(x \vee \bar{y}) \wedge(\bar{x} \vee y)
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## Introduction (4)

Tree model of true formula: Tree refutation of false formula:

$$
\forall x \exists y \cdot(x \vee \bar{y}) \wedge(\bar{x} \vee y)
$$

$$
\exists x \forall y \cdot(x \vee \bar{y}) \wedge(\bar{x} \vee y)
$$



## SEARCH-BASED QBF SOLVING



## Search-Based QBF Solving: QCDCL (1)

```
Result qcdcl (PCNF \phi)
    Result R = UNDEF;
    Assignment A = \emptyset;
    while (true)
        /* Simplify under A. */
        (R,A) = qbcp( }\phi,\textrm{A})\mathrm{ ;
        if (R == UNDET)
            /* Decision making. */
            A = assign_dec_var( }\phi,\textrm{A})\mathrm{ ;
        else
            /* Backtracking. */
            /* R == UNSAT/SAT */
            B = analyze(R,A);
            if (B == INVALID)
                return R;
            else
            A = backtrack(B);
```

- QBF-specific version of SAT CDCL algorithm
- expects the problem to be formulated in PCNF
- traverses the assignment tree of the input formula
- conflict analysis similar to SAT solvers
- satisfaction recognition requires additional efforts


## Search-Based QBF Solving: QCDCL (2)



1. Construct assignment (A) by QBF specific propagation and decisions.
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1. Construct assignment (A) by QBF specific propagation and decisions.
2. Check the followings:
$\square$ Is there a falsified clause under A and universal reduction? (Conflict)
$\square$ Are all the clauses of the formula satisfied under A? (Solution)
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3. Derive a clause (cube) $C$ from $A$ and $\phi$ and learn it.

## Search-Based QBF Solving: QCDCL (2)


3. Derive a clause (cube) $C$ from $A$ and $\phi$ and learn it.
4. Use the learned clause (cube) to backtrack.
$\square C=\emptyset$ : no place to backtrack, the formula is UNSAT (SAT).
$\square C \neq \emptyset: C$ is 'driving' the backtracking.
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## Observations

■ Either too technical (pseudo-code) or simply informal (high-level workflow) description of search-based QBF solvers

- How to analyse the behaviour of search-based QBF solvers?
- How to compare them with other QBF solving approaches?
- How to verify them?


## ABSTRACT QBF SOLVING



## Duality-Aware Abstract QBF Solver

Abstract Solver:

- Describes the essential properties of QBF solvers similar to the well-known DPLL transition system
- Provides a framework for analysing, comparing and composing solvers without technical details
- Duality-Aware: Conflicts and solutions are handled in the same way
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## State Transition System as QBF Solver

Possible states of aBF solver: $A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}$
■ A quantifier prefix: $\mathcal{Q}$
■ The current assignment (sequence of literals): $A$

- A set of cubes: D
- A set of clauses: $\mathcal{C}$

Possible steps of a QBF solver:

- Transition relation over the states defined by conditional transition rules
■ Different specializations, heuristics can be seen as refinements of the relations

Describe the solving process as a derivation in the calculus.

## Calculus Rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C} \wedge C}{A \ell_{\exists}\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C} \wedge C} \ell_{\exists} \text { existential unit in } C[A] \quad \text { (Unit }{ }_{\exists} \text { ) } \\
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D} \vee C\| \mathcal{C}}{A \neg \ell_{\forall}\|\mathcal{D} \vee C\| \mathcal{C}} \ell_{\forall} \text { universal unit in } C[A] \\
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A \ell_{\exists}\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}} \ell_{\exists} \in \mathcal{R}_{\exists}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{D}[A]) \text { is pure } \\
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A \neg \ell_{\forall}\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}} \ell_{\forall} \in \mathcal{R}_{\forall}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{C}[A]) \text { is pure } \\
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C} \wedge C} \mathcal{C} \vDash_{\mathcal{Q}} C \\
& \frac{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A\|\mathcal{D} \vee C\| \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D} \vDash^{\mathcal{Q}} C \\
& \text { (Pure }{ }_{\text {g }} \text { ) } \\
& \frac{A \ell_{\exists}^{d} A^{\prime}\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}} \\
& \frac{A \ell_{\forall}^{d} A^{\prime}\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{A\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}} \\
& A\|D\| \mathcal{C} \wedge \emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Remarks

- Strategy: Additional constraints in order to guarantee termination and to make the solver more realistic.
- Extendable: further rules to represent functionalities of practical solvers (e.g. forget, restart).
- If duality can not be assumed, it is possible to easily adopt the system for PCNF-based solvers.
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$$
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- in PDNF $(\mathcal{D})$ :

$$
\exists x \forall y \forall q . q \vee(\neg q \wedge \neg x \wedge \neg y) \vee(\neg q \wedge x \wedge y)
$$

- Merged Prefix:
$\mathcal{Q}=\exists x \forall y \exists p \forall q$
- Starting state:

$$
S=\emptyset\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}
$$

## Example (2)

$\mathcal{Q}=\exists x \forall y \exists p \forall q$

| $A$ | $\mathcal{D}$ | $\mathcal{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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$\mathcal{Q}=\exists x \forall y \exists p \forall q$

| $A$ | $\mathcal{R}_{\exists}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{D}[A])$ | $\mathcal{R}_{\forall}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{C}[A])$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $q$ |  |
| $p \neg q x$ | $\neg x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg q$ | $\perp$ |
|  | $x \wedge y \wedge \neg q$ |  |
|  |  |  |

$\frac{\emptyset\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}{p\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}$ Unit $_{\exists}$
$\frac{\frac{\partial}{p \neg q\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}}{p \neg q x\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C}}$ Unit $_{\forall}$
$\frac{\text { Unit }_{\exists}}{p \neg q x\|\mathcal{D}\| \mathcal{C} \wedge \emptyset}$ Learn $_{\mathrm{CNF}}$
$\perp$
Final

## Final $_{\text {CNF }}$ :

$\frac{A\|D\| \mathcal{C} \wedge \emptyset}{\perp}$

## Conclusion

## Abstract search-based QBF solvers

- Simple formalism to describe the behavior of search-based QBF solvers without the technical details

■ Provides better understanding of individual solving techniques

- Flexible representation: specialization of calculus rules to describe e.g. different decision heuristics

■ Step towards verified QBF solvers

## Future work

- Formalize preprocessing techniques

■ Comparison to non-QCDCL solvers

