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## Overview

## Search-based QBF Solving for Prenex-CNF (PCNF): QDPLL

- Classical approach relies on linear quantifier prefix: $Q_{1} Q_{2} \ldots Q_{n} . \phi$.
- Analyzing variable dependencies: can prefix order be relaxed?


## Example (Dependencies: quantifier ordering matters)

- $\forall x \exists y$. $(x=y)$ is satisfiable: value of $y$ depends on value of $x$.
- $\exists y \forall x .(x=y)$ is unsatisfiable: value of $y$ is fixed for all values of $x$.


## This Talk:

- Making QDPLL aware of dependencies.
- Identifying independence.
- Search-based QBF solving + dependency analysis.
- Implementation: DepQBF.

| Solver | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| DepQBF | $\mathbf{2 8 9 6 . 6 8}$ |
| DepQBF-pre | 2508.96 |
| aqme-10 | 2467.96 |
| qmaiga | 2117.55 |
| AlGSolve | 2037.22 |
| quantor-3.1 | 1235.14 |
| struqs-10 | 947.83 |
| nenofex-qbfeval10 | 829.11 |

QBFEVAL'10: score-based ranking.

Dependency Schemes: $D \subseteq\left(V_{\exists} \times V_{\forall}\right) \cup\left(V_{\forall} \times V_{\exists}\right)$.

- General framework for expressing (in)dependence in PCNFs.
- $(x, y) \notin D$ : $y$ independent from $x$.
- $(x, y) \in D$ : conservatively regard $y$ as depending on $x$.


## $D$ as Directed-Acyclic Graph (Dependency-DAG):

- Edges $x \rightarrow y$ iff $(x, y) \in D$.


## Syntactic Approaches:

- Trivial dependency scheme $D^{\text {triv }}$ (given prefix).
- Quantifier trees $D^{\text {tree }}$.
- Standard dependency scheme $D^{\text {std }}$.
- Theory: $D^{\text {std }} \subseteq D^{\text {tree }} \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$.


## Example



Goal: dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$ in QDPLL $\Rightarrow$ expecting more freedom.

## Related Work:

- Classical result: description of QDPLL with $D^{\text {triv }}$.
- First generalization: QDPLL with $D^{\text {tree }}$.


## Our Results:

- Description of QDPLL for arbitrary dependency schemes.
- Solver DepQBF: implementation of QDPLL with $D^{\text {std }}$.
- Previous work: compact dependency-DAG representation for $D^{\text {std }}$.
- Experimental evaluation.

```
```

State qdpll ()

```
```

State qdpll ()
while (true)
while (true)
State s = bcp ();
State s = bcp ();
if (s == UNDEF)
if (s == UNDEF)
// Make decision.
// Make decision.
v = select_dec_var ();
v = select_dec_var ();
assign_dec_var (v);
assign_dec_var (v);
else
else
// Conflict or solution.
// Conflict or solution.
// s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
// s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
if (btlevel == INVALID)
if (btlevel == INVALID)
return s;
return s;
else
else
backtrack (btlevel);

```
```

                    backtrack (btlevel);
    ```
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## QDPLL with Dependency Schemes

[GNT02, Let02, ZM02, CGS98, GNT06, BKF95]

```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
    else
        // Conflict or solution.
        // s == UNSAT or s == SAT.
        btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
        if (btlevel == INVALID)
            return s;
        else
            backtrack (btlevel);
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

Parts to be Generalized from $D^{\text {triv }}$ to Arbitrary $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ :

- Unit literal detection: expecting more units.
- Learning: expecting shorter constraints and "enabled" resolution steps.
- Stop criterion/asserting level: expecting longer backjumps.
- Selection of decision variables: expecting more freedom.


## Focus: Decision Making

## Decisions and Dependency-Order:

- Out-of-order decisions: generally unsound $\Rightarrow$ must branch in " $D$-order".


## Example

$\exists a \forall x, y \exists b . \phi$ : branching on $b$ possible by $D^{\text {triv }}$ only if $x, y$ assigned.

## Decision Candidates (DC):

- Def.: unassigned variables $x$ where all $y \in \bar{D}(x)$ are assigned.
- Candidate has all "preconditions" wrt. D assigned, i.e. is enabled.


## Example

$\exists a \forall x, y \exists b . \phi$ : assigning a enables $x$ and $y$ by $D^{\text {triv }}$.

## Lazy DC-Maintenance:

- DCs needed exactly before making a decision and not e.g. during BCP.
- Defer updating set of DCs as long as possible.
- Exploit DAG structure for incremental updates.


## Decision Making: Compact Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$



- For simplicity: ignoring dependencies of the form $\exists x \rightarrow \forall y$.
- DAG: edges $x \rightarrow y$ iff $(x, y) \in D$.
- Construct dependency-DAG over equivalence classes of variables.


## Decision Making: Compact Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$



- Merge $\forall x$ and $\forall y$ if have same outgoing pointers, i.e. $D(x)=D(y)$.


## Decision Making: Compact Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$



- Merge $\exists x$ and $\exists y$ if have same incoming pointers, i.e. $\bar{D}(x)=\bar{D}(y)$.


## Decision Making: Compact Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$



- Add non-transitive edges $[\exists x] \rightarrow[\exists y]$ if $\bar{D}(x) \subseteq \bar{D}(y)$.
- Delete redundant $\forall \rightarrow \exists$ edges.


## Decision Making: Compact Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$



- Only fully assigned classes possibly enable new candidates.
- Counters $c$ in $\forall$-classes: number of unassigned variables in class.
- Relevant cases: $c=1 \rightarrow c=0$ and $c=0 \rightarrow c=1$.


## Decision Making: Example

- Assign $x_{3}: c=2 \rightarrow c=1$.
- No change, $x_{4}$ still unassigned.
- Assign $x_{4}: c=1 \rightarrow c=0$.
- Follow $[\forall] \rightarrow[\exists] \rightarrow^{*}[\exists]$ edges.
- Parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ of $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ has unassigned [ $M$ ]-refs.
- $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ also ref'd by $\left[\forall x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.
- $\Rightarrow\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- Assign $x_{1}: c=2 \rightarrow c=1$.
- No change, $x_{2}$ still unassigned.
- Assign $x_{2}: c=1 \rightarrow c=0$.
- Follow $[\forall] \rightarrow[\exists] \rightarrow^{*}[\exists]$ edg̣es.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ new DC: no unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
- $\left[\exists y_{5}\right]$ not DC, has unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
- $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ new DC: parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ DC and no unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
- [ $\left.\exists y_{6}\right]$ new DC: parent $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ DC and no unassigned [ $\forall]$-refs.



## Decision Making: Example
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- Assign $x_{3}: c=2 \rightarrow c=1$.
- No change, $x_{4}$ still unassigned.
- Assign $x_{4}: c=1 \rightarrow c=0$.
- Follow $[\forall] \rightarrow[\exists] \rightarrow^{*}[\exists]$ edges.
- Parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ of $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ has unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
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- Assign $x_{3}: c=2 \rightarrow c=1$.
- No change, $x_{4}$ still unassigned.
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- Parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ of $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ has unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
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- Assign $x_{4}: c=1 \rightarrow c=0$.
- Follow $[\forall] \rightarrow[\exists] \rightarrow^{*}[\exists]$ edges.
- Parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ of $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ has unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
- $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ also ref'd by $\left[\forall x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.
- $\Rightarrow\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ not DC.
- Assign $x_{1}: c=2 \rightarrow c=1$.
- No change, $x_{2}$ still unassigned.
- Assign $x_{2}: c=1 \rightarrow c=0$.
- Follow $[\forall] \rightarrow[\exists] \rightarrow^{*}[\exists]$ edges.
- $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ new DC: no unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
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- $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ new DC: parent $\left[\exists y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ DC and no unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.
- $\left[\exists y_{6}\right]$ new DC: parent $\left[\exists y_{3}, y_{4}\right]$ DC and no unassigned $[\forall]$-refs.


Decision Making: Backtracking Example






| QBFEVAL’08 (3326 formulae) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $D^{\text {triv }}$ | $D^{\text {tree }}$ | $D^{\text {std }}$ | QuBE6.6-np | QuBE6.6 |
| solved | 1223 | 1221 | $\mathbf{1 2 5 2}$ | 1106 | $\mathbf{2 2 7 7}$ |
| avg. time | 579.94 | 580.64 | $\mathbf{5 7 2 . 3 1}$ | 608.97 | $\mathbf{3 0 2 . 4 9}$ |
| QBFEVAL'07(1136 formulae) |  |  |  |  |  |
| solved | 533 | 548 | $\mathbf{5 6 7}$ | 458 | $\mathbf{7 3 4}$ |
| avg. time | 497.12 | 484.69 | $\mathbf{4 6 9 . 9 7}$ | 549.29 | $\mathbf{3 4 8 . 0 5}$ |

Table: Comparison of DepQBF with $D^{\text {std }} \subseteq D^{\text {tree }} \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ and QuBE6.6.

## DepQBF:

- QDPLL for PCNF with with clause- and cube-learning.
- Dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$ (primary), and $D^{\text {tree }}, D^{\text {triv }}$ (experimentally).
- No preprocessing.
- $D^{\text {std }}$ pays off despite DAG-overhead.
- More solved instances in less time.
- But: preprocessing is important.
- Reference: QuBE6.6 with(out) preprocessing (QuBE6.6-np) [GNT01].

| QBFEVAL'10 main track (568 formulae) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solved+Unsolved |  | Solved SAT | Solved UNSAT |  |  |
|  | solved | avg.time | solved | avg.time | solved | avg.time |
| QuBE7.0-pre $\Rightarrow$ DepQBF | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 2}$ |
| QuBE7 | 414 | 310.29 | 187 | 130.52 | 227 | 58.33 |
| QuBE6.6 | 387 | 341.91 | 168 | 98.97 | 219 | 67.03 |
| without preprocessing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DepQBF | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 2}$ |
| QuBE7.0-np | 332 | 425.44 | 135 | 147.71 | 197 | 47.27 |
| QuBE6.6-np | 301 | 468.51 | 113 | 136.48 | 188 | 55.27 |

Table: Comparison of DepQBF with $D^{\text {std }}$ and state-of-the-art QBF solvers. Ranking by number of solved formulae. Statistics include time for preprocessing.

## Preprocessing:

- DepQBF with $D^{\text {std }}$ : best both with and without preprocessing.
- QuBE7.0-pre: preprocessor integrated in QuBE7.0 [GMN10].


## Experimental Results 3/5: QBFEVAL’10



## Experimental Results 4/5: QBFEVAL'10



Experimental Results 5/5: QBFEVAL'10


## QDPLL with Dependency Schemes:

- $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ relaxes prefix order to allow more freedom in QDPLL.

Implementation: QDPLL-based solver DepQBF.

- Compact dependency-DAG for $D^{\text {std }}$ over equivalence classes.
- Top-ranked solver in QBFEVAL'10: $D^{\text {std }}$ pays off despite DAG-overhead.
- See also Pragmatics of SAT 2010 (POS'10) workshop: "DepQBF: A Dependency-Aware QBF Solver (System Description)".


## Future Work:

- Preprocessing,...

DepQBF 0.1 is open source: http://fmv.jku.at/depqbf/

## [APPENDIX] - QDPLL 1/6

[GNT02, Let02, ZM02, CGS98, GNT06, BKF95]

```
```

State qdpll ()

```
```

State qdpll ()
while (true)
while (true)
State s = bcp ();
State s = bcp ();
if (s == UNDEF)
if (s == UNDEF)
// Make decision.
// Make decision.
v = select_dec_var ();
v = select_dec_var ();
assign_dec_var (v);
assign_dec_var (v);
else
else
// Conflict or solution.
// Conflict or solution.
// s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
// s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
if (btlevel == INVALID)
if (btlevel == INVALID)
return s;
return s;
else
else
backtrack (btlevel);

```
```

                    backtrack (btlevel);
    ```
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.
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```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
        else
            // Conflict or solution.
            // s == UNSAT or s == SAT.
            btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
            if (btlevel == INVALID)
                return s;
            else
                backtrack (btlevel);
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## Boolean Constraint Propagation (BCP):

- Assigning unit and pure literals.
- Augmented CNF: $\phi:=\phi_{O C L} \wedge \phi_{L C L} \vee \phi_{O C U}$.
- Original clauses $\phi_{O C L}$, learnt clauses $\phi_{L C L}$ and learnt cubes $\phi_{L C U}$.


## [APPENDIX] - QDPLL 3/6

```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
        else
            // Conflict or solution.
            // s == UNSAT or s == SAT.
            btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
            if (btlevel == INVALID)
                return s;
            else
                backtrack (btlevel);
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## Decision Making:

- BCP saturated without detecting conflict/solution.
- Select and assign one decision candidate.
- Candidates: according to dependency scheme and partial assignment.


## [APPENDIX] - QDPLL 4/6

```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
        else
            // Conflict or solution.
            // s == UNSAT or s == SAT.
            btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
            if (btlevel == INVALID)
                return s;
            else
                backtrack (btlevel);
```

DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
$\mathrm{R}=$ get_initial_reason (s);
// $s$ == UNSAT: ' $R$ ' is empty clause.
// s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
// ..or new cube from assignment.
while (!stop_res (R))
p = get_pivot (R);
$\mathrm{A}=$ get_antecedent (p);
$R=$ constraint_res ( $R, ~ p, A$ );
add_to_formula (R);
assign_forced_lit (R);
return get_asserting_level (R);

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## Result Analysis:

- BCP detected conflict/solution.
- Conflict: empty clause.
- Solution: satisfying assignment or satisfied learnt cube.
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```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
        else
            // Conflict or solution.
            // s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
            btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
            if (btlevel == INVALID)
            else
            backtrack (btlevel);
```

                return s; assign_forced_lit (R);
    ```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## Constraint Learning:

- Init. from conflict: empty clause.
- Init. from solution: sat. cube or new cube from satisfying assignment.
- Resolution/consensus: antecedents of units in current clause/cube.
- First-UIP: generalized stop criterion.


## [APPENDIX] - QDPLL 6/6

[GNT02, Let02, ZM02, CGS98, GNT06, BKF95]

```
State qdpll ()
    while (true)
        State s = bcp ();
        if (s == UNDEF)
            // Make decision.
            v = select_dec_var ();
            assign_dec_var (v);
        else
            // Conflict or solution.
            // s == UNSAT or }\textrm{s}==\mathrm{ SAT.
            btlevel = analyze_leaf (s);
            if (btlevel == INVALID)
                return s;
            else
                backtrack (btlevel);
```

```
DecLevel analyze_leaf (State s)
    R = get_initial_reason (s);
    // s == UNSAT: 'R' is empty clause.
    // s == SAT: 'R' is sat. cube...
    // ..or new cube from assignment.
    while (!stop_res (R))
        p = get_pivot (R);
        A = get_antecedent (p);
        R = constraint_res (R, p, A);
    add_to_formula (R);
    assign_forced_lit (R);
    return get_asserting_level (R);
```

Figure: QDPLL with conflict-driven clause and solution-driven cube learning.

## Backtrack:

- Assumption: learning always produces asserting constraints.
- Backtrack to asserting level.


## [APPENDIX] - Unit Literal Detection

[CGS98, GNT02, ZM02, GNT07]
Given dependency scheme $D$ for PCNF. Write $x \prec v$ if $(x, y) \in D$.

## Definition (Unit Clause Rule)

A clause $C$ is unit iff

- no literal $I \in C$ is assigned true,
- exactly one existential literal $l_{e} \in L_{\exists}(C)$ is unassigned,
- for all unassigned universal literals $I_{u} \in L_{\forall}(C): I_{u} \nprec l_{e}$.

Example: $\exists x \forall a \exists y, z . \phi^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee a \vee y \vee z)$.
Assign $\bar{x}, \bar{y}: \exists x \forall a \exists y, z . \phi^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee a \vee y \vee z)$.
Given $D^{\text {triv }}$ from prefix: $(x \vee a \vee y \vee z)$ not unit since $a \prec z$ (because $\forall a$ before $\exists z$ ).
Given $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ where $a \nprec z:(x \vee a \vee y \vee z)$ unit.

## Practical Effects:

- Expecting more units when using $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$.
- Combining two-literal watching with dependency checking.


## [APPENDIX] - Constraint Reduction

Constraint Reduction: universal/existential reduction of clauses/cubes.

## Definition (Universal Reduction of Clauses)

A universal literal $I_{U} \in L_{\forall}(C)$ can be deleted from a clause $C$ iff

- there is no $I_{e} \in L_{\exists}(C)$ with $I_{u} \prec I_{e}$.
- The result of saturated universal reduction is denoted by $C R(C)$.
(Dual definition of existential reduction for cubes.)

Example: $\exists x \forall a \exists y . \phi^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee a \vee y)$.
Given $D^{\text {triv }}$ from prefix: $a$ is irreducible in $(x \vee a \vee y)$ since $a \prec y$.
Given $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ where $a \nprec y$ : a is reducible in ( $x \vee a \vee y$ ), yielding $(x \vee y)$.

## Practical Effects:

- Expecting shorter learnt constraints when using $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$.
- Combining constraint reduction with dependency checks.


## [APPENDIX] - Constraint Resolution

Constraint Resolution: Q-resolution/consensus of clauses/cubes.

## Definition (Q-resolution for Clauses)

Clarifies Def. 7 in paper.
Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be clauses with $v \in L_{\exists}\left(C_{1}\right), \bar{v} \in L_{\exists}\left(C_{2}\right)$.
(1) $C:=\left(C R\left(C_{1}\right) \cup C R\left(C_{2}\right)\right) \backslash\{v, \bar{v}\}$.
(2) If $C$ contains complementary literals then no resolvent exists.
(3) Otherwise, resolvent $C^{\prime}:=C R(C)$ of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ on $v:\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \vdash{ }_{v} C^{\prime}$.
(Dual definition of consensus for cubes.)

Example: $\exists x \forall a \exists y, z . \phi^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee a \vee y \vee z) \wedge(x \vee a \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge\left(x \vee \overline{C_{1}} \vee \overline{C_{3}} \vee z\right)$.
Given $D^{\text {triv }}$ from prefix: $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \vdash_{z}(x \vee a \vee y)$, but $\left\{(x \vee a \vee y), C_{3}\right\} \nvdash y$.
Given $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ where $a \nprec y:\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \vdash_{z}(x \vee y)$, and $\left\{(x \vee y), C_{3}\right\} \vdash_{y}(x \vee \bar{a} \vee z)$.

## Practical Effects:

- Possible reductions of "resolution-blocking" literals when using $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$.


## [APPENDIX] - Stop Criterion

## Definition (Asserting Clause/Level)

Clarifies Def. 8 from paper. See also function get_reason_asserting_level in DepQBF 0.1 source code.
Let $R$ be a resolvent i.e. $\{\ldots\} \vdash^{*} R$. Let $d:=\max \left(\left\{d /(I) \mid I \in L_{\exists}(R)\right\}\right)$. $R$ is asserting at $a:=\max \left(\left\{d I(I)<d \mid I \in L_{\exists}(R)\right.\right.$ or $I \in L_{\forall}(R)$ with $\left.\left.I \prec d\right\}\right)$ iff
(1) the decision variable at level $d$ is existential,
(2) there is exactly one $I \in L_{\exists}(R)$ with $d l(I)=d$,
(3) for all $I_{u} \in L_{\forall}(R)$ where $I_{u} \prec I_{\text {: }} I_{u}$ must be assigned false with $d l\left(I_{u}\right)<d$. (Dual definition for asserting cubes.)

Example: $\ldots \exists x \ldots \forall a \ldots \exists y, z \ldots \phi^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee a \vee y \vee z)$.
Given $D^{\text {triv }}$ from prefix: in $(\stackrel{\oplus 1}{x} \vee \stackrel{@ 3}{a} \vee \stackrel{\varrho 2}{y} \vee \stackrel{\varrho 4}{z}), z$ is unit at level 3 .
Given $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$ where $a \nprec z$ : in $\left(\stackrel{\oplus 1}{x} \vee \stackrel{\varrho 3}{a} \vee \frac{\varrho 2}{y} \vee \frac{\oplus 4}{z}\right), z$ is unit at level 2.

## Practical Effects:

- Possibly longer backjumps when using $D \subseteq D^{\text {triv }}$.
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